

Evolutionary Modelling of Transitions to Sustainable Development

Karolina Safarzyńska

# Producer-consumer coevolution

# and innovation dynamics

#### **Outline of the presentation**

- a coevolutionary model of demand and supply
- energy transitions with interactive selection-innovation dynamics
- a history friendly model of the evolution of electricity industry



Appeared as: Safarzyńska, K., van den Bergh, J.CJ.M., 2010. Demand-supply coevolution with multiple increasing returns: policy analysis for unlocking and system transitions. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 77: 297-317.

### Supply side

- firms set the desired production level for the next period
- firms invest in capital expansion
- firms invest (remaining) profits in advertising and R&D activities towards quality improvements
- if its sales are insufficient a firm can carry out marketing research; it considers innovating radically

#### **Demand side**

Each consumer attempts to purchase a product that renders the highest utility:

 $u_{it} = \chi \frac{\chi_{jt}^{\alpha_{i}} n_{jt}^{\zeta} f_{jt}^{\varpi} b_{jt}^{\varpi}}{p_{jt}^{0.5 - \alpha_{i}} l_{jt}^{\kappa}}$ 

#### **Types of network effect**

Network effect:

•through market share:

 $n_{jt} = m_{jt-1}$ 

•through a positional good:

 $n_{jt} = x_{jt} - x_{t-1}$ •through conformity:

 $n_{jt} = \widetilde{x} - abs(\mathbf{x}_{jt} - \overline{x}_{t-1})$ 

#### **Increasing returns**

#### Demand:

- Network Effect
- Snob Effect
- Advertising Effect (Informational Increasing Returns)

#### Supply:

- Learning-by-Doing
- Economies of Scale
- Incremental Improvements

#### **Model simulations**

- Simulations involve the interactions of 100 consumers in 2 classes (peer groups), 0-15 firms (stochastic)
- Monte-carlo based on 500 simulations. Logit regression analysis (1=lock-in occurred, 0 not).
- For 3 alternative network effects: market share, positional good and conformity

## Insights

- Increasing returns on the demand side are more likely to prevent the diffusion of innovations than increasing returns on the supply side.
- The effectiveness of unlocking policies is sensitive to the demand-side specification.

#### An Evolutionary Model of Energy Transitions with Interactive Innovation-Selection Dynamics

How much capital to take away from fossil fuel towards renewable energy technologies so as to provide the latter with good learning opportunities, while ensuring security of energy supply?

#### Trade-off between:

- diversity and efficiency
- long- and short- term benefits

#### **An Evolutionary Model of Energy Transitions** with Interactive Innovation-Selection Dynamics

$$\dot{x}_{et} = \sum_{j} x_i f_{it} q_{ji} - x_{et} \phi_t + r \sum_{kj} \gamma_{kj}^e x_{it} x_{jt}$$

where:

 $X_{it}$ 

- shares of investments
- technology fitness
- the average fitness
- = 1 if technologies may recombined
- $egin{array}{c} f_{it} \ \phi_{t} \ \gamma_{kj}^{e} \end{array}$  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{ii}^{e}$ weights at which technologies are recombined
- recombination r
- mutation  $q_{ik}$

### **Selection environments**

• Constant costs

$$c_{it} = c_{i0}$$

• Costs decreasing steadily over time

$$c_{it} = \frac{c_{i0}}{gt + b}$$

• Costs decreasing along learning curves

$$c_{it} = c_{i0} \left(\int_{0}^{t} x_{it} dt\right)^{-\beta_i}$$

#### **Model dynamics**

- Market dynamics last T=500 time steps, referred to as the investment period
- 3 technologies: 2 exist and 1 emerges:

 $x_{1,0} = x_{2,0} = 0.5$  and  $x_{3,0} = 0$ x- technology shares

• Other parameter values are:

 $c_{1,0}=0.1 c_{2,0}=0.2 c_{3,0}=0.4$ , c- unit costs

 $\beta_1$ =0.05,  $\beta_2$ =0.05,  $\beta_3$ =0.35.  $\beta$ - learning rates

## Insights

- Diversification of a research portfolio can be an important source of innovation due to potentially novel combinations of incumbent technologies.
- In the long run, it may also ensure the lowest average cost of maintaining a specific technology mix.



#### Structure of the model

- Plants set their production and forward position in a two step maximization procedure:
  - 2nd stage: setting production given plant's forward position
  - 1st stage: forward position
- Each plant employs inputs for production according to their marginal productivity.
- A new plant enters a market
  - Rational model version: technology choice based on the discounted value of investments
  - Evolutionary model version: random

#### Insights

- The version of the model with rational agents replicated well the core characteristics of a transition from coal to gas in the UK.
- In the version with boundedly rational investors, the dominance of nuclear energy in electricity production was observed in the majority of simulation runs.
- In both versions of the model, in the presence of a forward market, fuel and labour use were much higher than without it.

#### Conclusions

• Modelling exercises attempted to unravel some general tendencies of system dynamics and to identify possible mechanisms of change.

• Proposed models can be modified to incorporate features of specific sectors or industries undergoing transitions.

# Thank you for your attention